

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/781,233 | 02/18/2004 | Mike Nipke | 15554US01 | 2367 | |
| 7590 06/22/2005 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| McAndrews, I | Held & Malloy, Ltd. | | YIP, WI | NNIE S | |
| 34th Floor 500 W. Madison | n Street | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Chicago, IL 60661 | | | 3637 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brie | f | | | | | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/781,233 | NIPKE, MIKE | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Winnie Yip | 3637 | | |

| Advisory Action | 10/781,233 | NIPKE, MIKE | NIPKE, MIKE | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Winnie Yip | 3637 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API | | • | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th | | r is later. In no | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f |). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>The proposed amendment includes newly combined structural and functional features which raise new issue that would require the examiner to further consideration and/or search</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | • | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended. | rill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | | | nce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☒ Other: See attachment. | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | |
| | | Winnie Yp | | | | |
| | | Primary Examiner | | | | |

Art Unit: 3637

Attachment: The information disclosure statement filed June 10, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

M